

## **Remarks/Arguments**

### ***Claim Summary***

By this Amendment, claims 1 and 6 have been revised.

Claims 1-8 remain pending in the application.

### ***Oath/Declaration***

Applicants strongly disagree that the originally filed Declaration is inoperative. However, in an effort to expedite prosecution, a Substitute Declaration is submitted herewith.

### ***Specification and Drawings***

By this Amendment, the specification and FIG. 5 have been revised to correct the informalities noted by the Examiner. No new matter has been added.

### ***35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph***

By this Amendment, claims 1 and 6 have been revised to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph.

### ***35 U.S.C. §103***

Claims 1-8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA). Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection with respect to the now-pending claims.

#### **Claims 1-5**

With respect to Claim 1, the claimed invention now recites "(a) applying a working voltage and a black voltage resulting in a brightness curve varying with time during a vertical scanning period sequentially to a plurality of pixels on the liquid crystal display within the vertical scanning period; (b) integrating the brightness curve with time during a duration of the brightness curve to obtain a product, and deriving an effective brightness from a quotient by dividing the

product by the duration of the vertical scanning period; transferring the effective brightness into an effective light transmittance; (c) iterating the aforesaid steps (a)-(c) to obtain a light transmittance vs. voltage curve; and (d) defining a plurality of gray levels and gradation voltages corresponding to the plurality of gray levels according to the light transmittance vs. voltage curve".

AAPA fails to disclose these features.

That is, in the claimed invention, the gradation voltage corresponding to each gray level is defined by means of the dynamic relation curve, i.e. the light transmittance vs. voltage curve, and therefore it can satisfy the time factor of the brightness felt by the viewer's vision because of the existence of the delay phenomenon in the optical response.

Referring to paragraph [0007], FIG. 2 of the AAPA shows a steady transmittance vs. voltage curve rather than a dynamic transmittance vs. voltage curve. In general, a voltage, such as  $V_{L255}$ , is applied to the liquid crystal capacitor of a pixel, the liquid crystal molecules in the liquid crystal capacitor will be finally rotated to a predetermined steady angular posture due to the change of the electric field, and after the posture of crystal liquid molecules eventually is positioned in the predetermined steady angular posture and remain steady, the light transmittance measured for the pixel is designated as a steady light transmittance such as  $T_{L255}$ . The AAPA does not disclose or teach to integrate a brightness curve which varies with time during a vertical scanning period with time during a duration of the brightness curve to obtain a product and then to derive an effective brightness from a quotient by dividing the product by the duration of the vertical scanning period.  $T_{L255}$  of FIG. 2 is a steady light transmittance rather than an effective light transmittance derived by integrating a dynamic relation brightness curve with time during a duration of the brightness curve and dividing the integrating result by the duration of the vertical scanning period. Furthermore,  $V_{L255}$  of FIG. 2 is merely a voltage applied to the liquid crystal capacitor of a pixel, and is also unconcerned with the product of variable brightness and time.

Thus, in contrast to Applicant's disclosed and claimed invention, AAPA fails to teach or suggest all features of claim 1. Since the applied art does not disclose all the limitations of independent claim 1, withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of claims 1-5 are requested.

#### Claims 6-8

With respect to currently amended Claim 6, the claimed invention now specifies that "(a) applying a working voltage and a black voltage resulting in a brightness curve varying with time during a vertical scanning period sequentially to a plurality of pixels on the liquid crystal display within the vertical scanning period; (b) integrating the brightness curve with time during a duration of the brightness curve to obtain a product, and deriving an effective brightness from a quotient by dividing the product by the duration of the vertical scanning period; transferring the effective brightness into an effective light transmittance; (c) iterating the aforesaid steps (a)-(c) to obtain a light transmittance vs. voltage curve; and (d) defining a plurality of gray levels and gradation voltages corresponding to the plurality of gray levels according to the light transmittance vs. voltage curve; and (e) defining a plurality of gray levels and gradation voltages corresponding to the plurality of gray levels according to the light transmittance vs. voltage curve; wherein each of the gradation voltages is higher than each gradation voltage determined by a steady light transmittance vs. voltage curve for the same gray level so as to accelerate the response speed of the liquid crystal display".

AAPA fails to disclose these features.

That is, in the claimed invention, the gradation voltage corresponding to each gray level is defined by means of the dynamic relation curve, i.e. the light transmittance vs. voltage curve, and therefore it can satisfy the time factor of the brightness felt by the viewer's vision because of the existence of the delay phenomenon in the optical response.

Referring to paragraph [0007], FIG. 2 of AAPA shows a *steady* transmittance vs. voltage curve rather than a *dynamic* transmittance vs. voltage curve as the claimed invention shows. In general, a voltage, such as  $V_{L255}$ , is applied to the liquid crystal capacitor of a pixel, the liquid crystal molecules in the liquid crystal capacitor will be finally rotated to a predetermined steady angular posture due to the change of the electric field, and after the posture of crystal liquid molecules eventually is positioned in the predetermined steady angular posture and remain steady, the light transmittance measured for the pixel is designated as a *steady* light transmittance such as  $T_{L255}$ . The AAPA does not disclose or teach to *integrate a brightness curve which varies with time during a vertical scanning period* with time during a duration of the brightness curve to *obtain a product* and then to *derive an effective brightness* from a quotient by dividing the product by the duration of the vertical scanning period.  $T_{L255}$  of FIG. 2 is a *steady* light transmittance rather than an effective light transmittance derived by integrating a *dynamic* relation brightness curve with time during a duration of the brightness curve and dividing the integrating result by the duration of the vertical scanning period. Furthermore,  $V_{L255}$  of FIG. 2 is merely a voltage applied to the liquid crystal capacitor of a pixel, and is also unconcerned with the product of variable brightness and time.

Thus, in contrast to Applicant's disclosed and claimed invention, AAPA fails to teach or suggest all features of claim 6. Since the applied art does not disclose all the limitations of independent claim 6, withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of claims 6-8 are requested.

***Conclusion***

No other issues remaining, reconsideration and favorable action upon the claims 1-8 now pending in the application are requested.

Respectfully submitted,  
VOLENTINE & WHITT, PLLC  
/Adam C. Volentine/

Adam C. Volentine  
Reg. No. 33289

November 19, 2007

Attachments: Substitute Declaration (3 pages)  
Replacement Drawing Sheet (1 page – FIG. 5)

**Customer No. 20987**  
One Freedom Square  
Suite 1260  
11951 Freedom Drive  
Reston, VA 20190  
Tel. (571) 283-0720